Office of The City Attorney City of San Diego

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

October 23, 2012

TO:

Pari Ryan, Legislative Coordinator

Public Policy & Legislative Services, San Diego Housing Commission

FROM:

City Attorney

SUBJECT:

Item-HAR12-046 Council Mtg. 10/08/12 A Resolution of the Housing

Authority of the City of San Diego Approving Amendment of the San Diego

Housing Commission's Statement of Procurement Policy

STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt the following resolution: (HA-2013-5) Adopted as amended as Resolution HA-1568.

COUNCIL ACTION WAS:

Approve the item and require compliance with the terms of the recently enacted Proposition A (codified in San Diego Municipal Code section 22.4401, *et seq.*), which requires all construction contracts entered into by the San Diego Housing Commission valued at more than \$25,000 to be posted on the Housing Commission's website.

COUNCIL VOTE WAS:

Motion by Mr. Gloria, second by Ms. Emerald and amendment requested by Mr. DeMaio. Passed unanimously.

The Statement of Procurement Policy has been revised to reflect Council's Action using the appropriate language. Attached is a red-lined copy of the Statement of Procurement Policy, showing (1) changes to Sections 10.4.5 and 18.1to comply with Council's Action and (2) a minor non-substantive change to Section 20.6 at the request of the Housing Commission's General Counsel. A clean copy of the Statement of Procurement Policy is also attached. The matter is being returned to the San Diego Housing Commission for further processing.

JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney

Leslie FitzGerald

Chief Deputy City Attorney

LAF:nja Attachments Doc. No. 453065

APPENDIX A GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING COST ANALYSIS

A cost or price analysis must be performed in connection with every procurement action including contract modifications. The following lists the basic steps in conducting a cost analysis (please refer to Section 4.2 for more when a cost analysis is required):

- A. Verify cost and price information, including:
 - 1. The necessity for, and reasonableness of, the proposed cost;
 - 2. Technical evaluation or appraisal of the proposed direct cost elements;
 - 3. Application of audited or pre-negotiated indirect cost rates, direct labor rates, etc.;
- B. Evaluate the effect of the offeror/contractor's current practices on future costs;
- C. Compare costs proposed by the offeror/contractor with the following:
 - 1. Actual costs previously incurred by the same firm;
 - 2. Previous cost estimates from the same firm or other firms for the same or similar items;
 - 3. The methodology to be used to perform the work (are the costs consistent with the technical approach being proposed?);
 - 4. The independent cost estimate (ICE).
- D. Verify that the offeror/contractor's cost proposal complies with the appropriate cost principles;
- E. Verify that costs are allowable, allocable, and reasonable.

The major categories of costs are:

- A. Direct Costs, which include:
 - 1. Direct Labor (personnel)
 - 2. Equipment
 - 3. Supplies
 - 4. Travel and Per Diem
 - 5. Subcontractors
 - 6. Other Direct Costs

Cost Proposal	Cost Principle	
For-Profit or commercial organization	FAR Part 31	
State or local governments	OMB Circular A-87	
Private, non-profit organizations	OMB Circular A-122	
Educational institutions	OMB Circular A-21	

- B. Indirect Costs, which includes:
 - 1. Overhead
 - 2. General and Administrative Expenses

3. Profit (or Fee)

In the process of analyzing costs, profit should be analyzed separately. In analyzing profit, consideration should be given to:

- A. Complexity of the work to be performed;
- B. Contractor's risk in performing the contract;
- C. Contractor's investment in the contracted effort;
- D. Amount of subcontracting;
- E. Contractor's record of past performance; and
- F. Industry profit rates in the general area for similar work.

Remember: The objective is to establish overall cost reasonableness and not individual components.

APPENDIX B NONCOLLUSION DECLARATION

[TO BE EXECUTED BY BIDDER AND SUBMITTED WITH BID]

The undersigned declar	es:		
I am the	of	, the party ma	aking the foregoing bid.
company, association, of the bidder has not direst sham bid. The bidder has not directly or anyone to fix the bid process element of the bid are true. The bidder has breakdown thereof, or any corporation, partners.	organization, or corporately or indirectly induce as not directly or indirectly or indirectly to put in a sham bid indirectly, sought by a rice of the bidder or any price, or of that of any as not, directly or indirectly or in	chalf of, any undisclosed peration. The bid is genuine and sed or solicited any other bid ectly colluded, conspired, coll, or to refrain from bidding agreement, communication, by other bidder, or to fix any other bidder. All statement of the statement of the statement of the divulged information or detail on the statement of th	and not collusive or sham. dder to put in a false or connived, or agreed with g. The bidder has not in g, or conference with v overhead, profit, or ts contained in the bid id price or any nata relative thereto, to cository, or to any
joint venture, limited li	ability company, limite	alf of a bidder that is a corp ed liability partnership, or a cute, and does execute, this	ny other entity, hereby
		ws of the State of Californi ecuted on[state].	